



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,872	06/28/2001	Tatsuya Shimoda	109975	3054

25944 7590 04/16/2002

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. BOX 19928
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

EXAMINER

BAUMEISTER, BRADLEY W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2815

DATE MAILED: 04/16/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED
APR 30 2002
TC 2800 MAIL ROOM

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/892,872

Applicant(s)

Shimoda et al.

Examiner

B. William Baumeister

Art Unit

2815

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 12, 2002

2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.

2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☒ Claims 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.

12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:

1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____

3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____

20) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a search of both the product and method claims would not constitute an undue burden. A review of the pending claims shows that Applicant is correct. Accordingly, the previous restriction requirement is withdrawn and replaced with the following restriction requirement.
2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 4-6, 12, 15, 16 and 19, drawn to a memory device having a passive matrix array formed on a microstructure and a peripheral circuit formed on a substrate to which the microstructure is integrated, classified in class 257, subclass 295.
 - II. Claims 2, 13, 20, 21 and 24, drawn to a memory device having a peripheral circuit formed on a microstructure and a passive matrix array formed on a substrate to which the microstructure is integrated, classified in class 257, subclass 295.
 - III. Claims 3, 8, 14, 22, 23 and 25, drawn to a memory device having a passive matrix array formed on a first microstructure and a peripheral circuit formed on a second microstructure, both microstructures being integrated onto a substrate, classified in class 257, subclass 295.

Art Unit: 2815

- IV. Claims 9, 10 and 18, drawn to a memory device having a passive matrix array and a peripheral circuit integrated onto a single microstructure, classified in class 257, subclass 295.
- V. Claims 7 and 17, drawn to a memory device having passive matrix arrays formed on pairs of first microstructures, a peripheral circuit formed on a second microstructure, both microstructures being integrated onto a substrate, and wherein at least one of the first microstructures is formed on each side of the substrate, classified in class 257, subclass 723.
- VI. Claim 11, drawn to a memory device having passive matrix arrays formed on a plurality of microstructures that are integrated onto a substrate in layers, and a peripheral circuit, classified in class 257, subclass 723.

3. Inventions I-IV are related as patentably distinct species. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that the species have mutually exclusive characteristics. These species have the mutually exclusive characteristics set forth above.

4. Inventions V-VI are related as patentably distinct species. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that the species have mutually exclusive characteristics. These species have the mutually exclusive characteristics set forth above.

5. Inventions V and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the

Art Unit: 2815

particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed, such as the microstructures being formed in a recess. The subcombination has separate utility such as a memory device which does not include further microstructures on the rear surface of the substrate.

6. Inventions VI and I & III are related as combination and subcombinations. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed, such as the microstructures being formed in a recess. Each of the subcombinations has separate utility such as a memory device which does not include further first microstructures layered onto of the lower-most first microstructure.

7. As was explained above, this application also contains claims directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Art Unit: 2815

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter as shown by their different classification, the search and consideration required for any one invention is not required for the other inventions, and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2815

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, **B. William Baumeister**, at (703) 306-9165. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If the Examiner is not available, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Eddie Lee, can be reached at (703) 308-1690. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



B. William Baumeister
Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2815
April 13, 2002



Dear United States Patent and Trademark Office Customer:

Quality and customer satisfaction are important to Technology Center 2800.

Technology Center 2800 has taken continuous quality improvement efforts to ensure that the accompanying correspondence meets high quality standards, and focuses on good customer service. It is important to us that you are satisfied with the services we provide.

If the communication you have received has any issues that raise concerns as to the quality and/or clarity of the action taken by the examiner, we invite you to contact the appropriate Supervisory Primary Examiner. You may also contact one of our Quality Assurance Specialists.

Quality Assurance Specialists:

Don Hajec.....703-308-4075

Paul Dzierzynski.....703-308-4822

If the contents of the attached correspondence have any clerical omissions, e.g., missing references or pages, illegible text, or any other similar errors, please contact us at the number below. We will take appropriate action to expedite the necessary corrections. Also, if you have general questions concerning any application assigned to Technology Center 2800, please contact our Customer Service Center. Questions concerning the merits of the application must be directed to the Examiner in charge of the particular application, then to the supervisor if appropriate.

TC 2800 Customer Service Center Crystal Plaza 4-6th floor, D-corridor

Customer Service Representatives:

Linda M. Hodge-Taylor CP4-6-D32

Wynette Stapor CP4-6-D30

The Customer Service Center is open to receive requests for service in person, by phone **703-306-3329**, or Fax **703-306-5515**, from 8:30 am- 5:00 p.m. each business day.

Attention: Policy on Returning Telephone Calls

USPTO-wide customer service standards state that if a USPTO employee being called is not available, they will return your call by the next business day, or, if you request, an alternate point of contact will be provided. Technology Center 2800 is committed to meeting this service standard. If you have called any employee in our Technology Center and have not received a return phone call within one (1) business day or have not been provided another point of contact, please contact our Customer Service Center at 703-306-3329. We ensure that you will receive a return phone call, from an employee with the ability to assist you, within four (4) business hours of this contact.

Any matter not satisfactorily resolved by the listed resources should be brought to the attention of the appropriate Director listed below. We appreciate your assistance in helping us help you.

Directors, Technology Center 2800

Semi-conductors, Electrical, Optical Systems & Components

Sharon Gibson	703/308-0658	2810
Rolf G. Hille	703/306-0658	2820
Richard Seidel	703/306-3431	2830/40
Howard N. Goldberg	703/306-3431	2850/60
Janice A. Falcone	709/308-0530	2870/80